

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,208	04/13/2001	G. Thomas Wolf		3950
759	0 05/22/2003			
PETER P. TUNG, PHL.D.			EXAMINER	
6567 GALWAL CLARKSVILLE			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	a
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Γ		Application No.	Amplicant(a)	_ (T
	_		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/834,208	WOLF, G. THOMAS	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael G. Mendoza	he correspondence address	
Period fo	r Reply		comespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANC	be timely filed  )) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02-</u>	19-2003 .		
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed	•	s, prosecution as to the merits	s is
Dienositi	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
	Claim(s) $\frac{1-3}{2}$ is/are pending in the application	0.0		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	without consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
	on Papers	r cicculon requirement.		
9) 🔲 🗆	he specification is objected to by the Examine	г.		
10) 🔲 🏻	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	pted or b) 🛛 objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)  approved b) disar	oproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)∏ Т	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applic	cation No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
* S	application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rece	eived.	
14) <u></u> A∈	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment				
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No	. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/834,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the elastic bands affixed at two separate points on the mask must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudolph 5265595 in further view of Dyrud et al. 5819731.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/834,208

Art Unit: 3761

- 6. Rudolph teaches an oxygen mask having an impoved means for being secured over the nose and the mouth of a patient, the improvement comprising: a pair of elastic bands (col. 7; lines 28-29), both ends of the each pair affixed at points of attachment 12 to each of both sides of the mask (fig. 1), adjustably securable to the patient by pulling the ends anteriorally through the points of attachment (col. 7; lines 18-29), and wherein the elastic bands are affixed at four separate points on the mask (fig. 4). It should be noted that Rudolph fails to teach wherein the bands are extendible to loop over and around each ear of the patient.
- 7. Dyrud et al. teaches a mask with common strap for looping around the ear of patient for securing a mask. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the mask of Rudolph to include the strap of Dyrud et al. to prevent entanglement of the wearer's hair or otherwise ruin the wearer's hair style.
- 8. As to claim 3, Rudolph/Dyrud teach wherein the elastic bands are affixed at two separate points on the mask (34 or 36).

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment dated 09-03-2002 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/834,208

Art Unit: 3761

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/834,208

Art Unit: 3761

#### Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (703) 305-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 306-4520 for regular communications and (703) 306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

April 24, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5